

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					·
APPLICA	TION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/89	3,749	06/29/2001	Douglas K. Wyatt	7895.0027	3336
. 45979	. 7590	11/01/2006		EXAM	INER
	RKINS COII . BOX 1247	E LLP/MSFT		WOOD, W	ILLIAM H
		98111-1247		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ŕ			2193	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/893,749	WYATT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William H. Wood	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDED IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IN THE SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> .	July 2005		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed		ere procedution as to the mori	ito io
closed in accordance with the practice under		• •	13 13
	Lx parte waayie, 1999 O.D	. 11, 400 0.0. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-43</u> is/are pending in the applicati			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-43</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		ov the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			21(d)
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 H.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gri priority dilaci do d.o.o. g	113(a)-(a) of (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received	•	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document		anlication No	•
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			_
application from the International Burea		received in this National Stage	;
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	` ','	roanius d	
Occ the attached detailed Office action for a fis	or the certified copies not i	cceiveu.	
		·	
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>Induce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ul>		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2193

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 25-43 are pending and have been examined.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claim 25-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Balasubramaniam** et al. (USPN 6,477,550).

Art Unit: 2193

#### <u>Claim 25</u>

**Balasubramaniam** disclosed a method in a client of launching a software component, the client having an execution environment, the method comprising:

receiving from a user a request to launch the software component (column 5, lines 60-61; column 6, lines 1-2);

sending to a server a request to launch the software component (column 5, lines 60-61);

in response to sending the request, receiving from the server a launch page that includes code to determine whether the software component can successfully execute in the execution environment of the client, to determine parameters of the execution environment of the client, and to request downloading of the software component configured based on the determined parameters (column 6, lines 47-51); and

under control of the code of the received launch page,

determining whether the software component can successfully execute in the execution environment of the client (column 6, lines 47-51);

when it is determined that the software component cannot successfully execute in the execution environment of the client, reporting an error to the user *(column 6, lines 47-51)*;

when it is determined that the software component can successfully execute in the execution environment of the client (column 6, lines 47-51),

determining parameters of the execution environment of the client (column 6, lines 47-51);

sending to the server a request to download the software component, the request indicating the determined parameters (column 6, lines 47-51);

receiving from the server the software component configured according to the determined parameters (column 6, lines 47-51); and

launching execution of the software component (column 6, lines 62-65).

#### Claim 26

**Balasubramaniam** disclosed the method of claim 25 wherein the determining of whether the software component can successfully execute in the execution environment of the client includes determining whether a certain scripting language is supported (figure 3, line 10, "SCRITP LANGUAGE="JavaScript"").

#### Claim 27

**Balasubramaniam** disclosed the method of claim 25 wherein the determining of whether the software component can successfully execute in the execution

Page 5

environment of the client includes determining whether the software

component can be downloaded from the server (column 6, lines 55-59).

Claim 28

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 27 wherein the determining

of whether the software component can be downloaded includes attempting to

download from the server a test component (column 6, lines 55-59).

Claim 29

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 wherein the determining

of whether the software component can successfully execute in the execution

environment of the client includes determining whether a browser is enabled to

execute code in a certain language (figure 3, line 10, "SCRITP

LANGUAGE="JavaScript"").

Claim 30

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 including when it is

determined that the software component can successfully execute in the

execution environment of the client, establishing a connection between the

client and the server (column 6, lines 47-51, then it is downloaded).

Art Unit: 2193

Claim 31

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 including after sending to

the server a request to download the software component, receiving from the

server application content (column 6, lines 47-51).

<u>Claim 32</u>

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 including after receiving

from the server the software component configured according to the determined

parameters, executing code to detect changes in a parameter of the execution

environment of the client and when a change is detected, notifying the server of

the change to the parameter so that the server can effect the re-configuring of

the software component (column 6, lines 52-54, component now updated and

maintained whenever visiting server).

Claim 33

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 wherein a parameter of

the execution environment of the claim indicates whether a browser has certain

plug-ins (column 6, lines 15-37).

Application/Control Number: 09/893,749

Art Unit: 2193

Claim 34

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 wherein a parameter of

the execution environment of the client relates to a security policy of the client

Page 7

(column 6, lines 4-6, browser detection indicates security of that type of browser).

Claim 35

Balasubramaniam disclosed the method of claim 25 wherein a parameter of

the execution environment of the client relates to a hardware configuration of

the client (column 6, lines 4-6, browser detection indicates hardware

configuration able to support such a browser).

<u>Claims 36-43</u>

The limitations of claims 36-43 correspond to the limitations of claims 25-32

and are rejected in the same manner.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 25-43 have been

considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection

presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,749

Art Unit: 2193

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 8

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,749

Art Unit: 2193

## Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Wood whose telephone number is (571)-272-3736. The examiner can normally be reached 9:00am - 5:30pm Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571)-272-3719. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)273-8300 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained form either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR systems, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. For questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

William H. Wood Patent Examiner AU 2193

Page 9

October 26, 2006

KACKAL CHAN

TECHNOLOGI CELLE